



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,179	12/02/2003	Simon Robert Walmsley	PEA16US	5306
24011	7590	04/06/2009	EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			HOANG, DANIEL L	
393 DARLING STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BALMAIN, 2041			2436	
AUSTRALIA				
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
04/06/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/727,179	Applicant(s) WALMSLEY ET AL.
	Examiner DANIEL L. HOANG	Art Unit 2436

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1, 3-10 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/0256/06)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments regarding the 102 rejections in view of Hameau in regards to the random number are discussed below in the 102 rejection. Applicant's statement regarding examiner's assertion that the random number disclosed by Hameau "does not need to be stored on the card" are moot because said assertion was based on a previous version of the claims wherein "the secret information not being stored by the non-volatile memory". As the claims have been amended, examiner's grounds of rejections have also been changed, making the above statement moot.

The 112 rejection of the previous action has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hameau et al., US PGP No. 20020107798.

As per claim 1:

Hameau teaches:

An integrated circuit comprising

a processor and non-volatile memory,

[see paragraph 50, "CPU" and paragraph 46, "ROM"]

the non-volatile memory storing a first number and a second number,

[see paragraph 50, wherein the sixteen byte random number NaC is viewed as the "first random number"]

[see paragraph 58, wherein the secret session key Ks is viewed as the "second random number"]

wherein the second number is the result of an encryption function taking the first number and secret information as operands,

[see paragraph 58, wherein the session key Ks is the result of the random number NaC and the secret master key Km. The secret master key Km is viewed as the "secret information".]

the secret information not being stored by the non-volatile memory,

[see paragraph 65, wherein "the intermediary results are stored in registers or in RAM" not stored in the ROM]

the first number being a random number; and

[see paragraph 50, "sixteen byte random number"]

the integrated circuit comprising software configured to decrypt the second number using the first number, thereby to determine the secret information as required.

[see paragraphs 72 and 73, wherein the session key is derived]

As per claim 3, Hameau teaches:

An integrated circuit according to claim 1, wherein the first and second numbers are of the same length.

[see paragraph 23] "storage means of said microchip storing a symmetric secret encryption key and an asymmetric public key and said security device storing the same symmetric secret encryption key." Both are the same and thus clearly are the same length.]

As per claim 5, Hameau teaches:

An integrated circuit according to claim 1, wherein the encryption function is an XOR logical function.

[see paragraph 63] "The part K.sub.S1 is re-injected through a first input of a logic circuit of the "exclusive-OR" type, referenced XOR."

As per claim 6, Hameau teaches:

An integrated circuit according to claim 5, wherein the software is configured to decrypt the second number by performing an XOR logical function using the first and second numbers as operands.

[see paragraph 65] "the "exclusive-OR" logic operation can be performed by means of software instead of using a specific logic circuit XOR, by calling a routine stored in "ROM" memory 1, for example, under the control of the microprocessor CPU."

As per claim 7, Hameau teaches:

A method of manufacturing a plurality of integrated circuits in accordance with claim 1, including the steps, for each integrated circuit, of: determining the first number, and the secret information; generating the second number by way of an encryption function that uses the first number and the secret information as operands; storing the first and second numbers on the integrated circuit.

[see paragraph 80] "The method makes it possible to load, into each smart card CP, its own key, or in other words a different key than the other smart cards."

As per claim 8, Hameau teaches:

A method according to claim 7, wherein the first number is different amongst at least a plurality of the integrated circuits.

[see paragraph 80]

As per claim 10, Hameau teaches:

A method according to claim 7, wherein the first number is stored on the integrated circuit first, then extracted therefrom for use in generating the third and thence the second number.

[see rejection of claim 1, wherein the master key is stored on the smart card and then used to derive the remaining security data]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hameau as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Pires (US Patent No. 6,269,164).

As per claim 4:

An integrated number according to claim 1, wherein the first number is a random number that was generated using a stochastic process.

The Hameau reference has been discussed above. Hameau does not expressly disclose that the first number is a random number that is generated using a stochastic process. Pres teaches of a stochastic key.

[see col. 18, lines 2-7] "stochastic key scrambling method previously described is particularly well suited to the creation of good keys. As stated before, a good key is one made by a process that distributes the keys it generates evenly over the entirety of the available key space regardless of the input used to create it."

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains to modify the Hameau reference to incorporate the teachings of Pires in order to include usage of a random key generated using a stochastic process in order to improve upon the security of the key and to generate a key that is difficult to obtain because it is created through a random process.

As per claim 9, Hameau teaches:

A method according to claim 8, wherein the first numbers are determined randomly, pseudo-randomly, or arbitrarily.

[see rejection of claim 4 wherein a stochastic process leads to randomness]

- * Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

- * Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Daniel L. Hoang/
Examiner, Art Unit 2436